





UTMB, October 2025

# Ligands



- Small molecule (more than 1 atom, ligand, sugar, covalent modification, buffer molecules,...).
- Binds to a macromolecule.
- Can serve a biological purpose or was designed to bind.
- Can bind "by chance" (from solvent solutions).
- Binding: non-covalent and/or covalent bonds.

244k models in the PDB<sup>1</sup>

- Small molecule (more than 1 atom, ligand, sugar, covalent modification, buffer molecules,...).
- Binds to a macromolecule.
- Can serve a biological purpose or was designed to bind.
- Can bind "by chance" (from solvent solutions).
- Binding: non-covalent and/or covalent bonds

185k out of 244k models in the PDB<sup>1</sup> have at least one ligand ("distinct non-polymer entity")

75%

- Small molecule (more than 1 atom, ligand, sugar, covalent modification, buffer molecules,...).
- Binds to a macromolecule.
- Can serve a biological purpose or was designed to bind.
- Can bind "by chance" (from solvent solutions).
- Binding: non-covalent and/or covalent bonds.

30k electron microscopy models in the PDB<sup>1</sup>

- Small molecule (more than 1 atom, ligand, sugar, covalent modification, buffer molecules,...).
- Binds to a macromolecule.
- Can serve a biological purpose or was designed to bind.
- Can bind "by chance" (from solvent solutions).
- Binding: non-covalent and/or covalent bonds

18.5k out of 30k electron microscopy models in the PDB<sup>1</sup> have at least one ligand.
62%

→ Many samples contain ligands!

# Working with ligands

- 1) Find out what ligand(s) are in the structure.
- 2) Add the ligand to the model.
- 3) Refine the ligand along with the macromolecule


We need restraints for the ligand.

a priori knowledge

Restraints modify the target function by creating relationships between independent parameters.

# Restraints: a priori knowledge

Example: restrained bond lengths




- the coordinates of the two atoms are independent
- restraint keeps their distance within a certain target value
- imposes a penalty if it deviates too much.


# Ligands need restraints


# Stereochemical restraints specify ideal values for:


- Bond lengths
- Angles
- Torsions
- Planes
- Chiral volumes



 $\Sigma_{bonds} \omega (d_{model} - d_{ideal})^2$ 









 $\Sigma_{angles} \omega(\theta_{model} - \theta_{ideal})^2$ 

Images from PumMa web site (http://www.pumma.nl)






Volume (V) =  $(r_N-r_{CA}) \cdot [(r_C-r_{CA}) \times (r_{CB}-r_{CA})]$ 

$$E = \sum_{\text{planes}} \sum_{\text{atoms}} w \ (m \cdot r - d)^2$$

# Ligands need restraints


If you try to run phenix.refine and a ligand has no restraints...



(This message also occurs if atom names don't match!)

# Restraints: Ligands

Restraints of common ligands are included in libraries.



#### If novel ligand:

- eLBOW ligand builder
- ReadySet!
   Wrapper for eLBOW with additional features (add H atoms)
- REEL
   GUI for editing restraints

## Restraints libraries


- Amino acids: Engh&Huber dictionary
- Nucleic Acids: E.g., CCP4 monomer library
- Common ligands: GeoStandard (Geostd)
- Novel ligands 

   Use a dictionary generator

# Standard library in Phenix: GeoStd

Phenix comes with the GeoStd library (37k).

→ No need to generate novel restraints if your ligand is in the libraries.



...But it is always good to have a look at the restraints and check if there is something unexpected.

# Novel ligands

If the ligand is not in the libraries that ship with Phenix:

- Check other libraries
- If relying on 3 letter code: double check that it is the correct one



If still not found:

→ Get restraints from a dictionary generator

# Dictionary generator

Create restraints programmatically by using experimental information (wwPDB, CCD, CS, COD) or by molecular-simulation.


coordinates 3 letter code SMILES string other

Dictionary generator

Restraints ("cif file")

Don't confuse this with a model file (mmcif) or a reflection file (sf-cif)

# Restraints can vary



Steiner, R. A. & Tucker, J. A. (2017). Acta Crystallogr. Sect. Struct. Biol. 73, 93–102.

# Ligand restraints for Phenix

#### Restraints are needed for

- Refinement (any kind of geometry minimization)
- Validation

## Tools for ligand restraints in Phenix


- eLBOW
- ReadySet!

#### **eLBOW**

eLBOW = electronic Ligand Builder and Optimisation Workbench

- Automated generation of restraints for ligands
- Fast, simple and flexible procedure
- geometry can be optimized, e.g., via semi-empirical quantum mechanical method (AM1)

## Chemical input



Chemical restraints (CIF)
Cartesian coordinates (PDB)

#### **eLBOW**

Acta Crystallographica Section D
Biological
Crystallography

ISSN 0907-4449

Nigel W. Moriarty, a\* Ralf W. Grosse-Kunstleve a and Paul D. Adams a,b

<sup>a</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, One Cyclotron Road, Mailstop 64R0246, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Bioengineering, UC Berkeley, CA 94720, USA electronic Ligand Builder and Optimization Workbench (eLBOW): a tool for ligand coordinate and restraint generation

The electronic Ligand Builder and Optimization Workbench (eLBOW) is a program module of the PHENIX suite of computational crystallographic software. It is designed to be a flexible procedure that uses simple and fast quantum-chemical techniques to provide chemically accurate information for novel and known ligands alike. A variety of input formats and options allow the attainment of a number of diverse goals including geometry optimization and generation of restraints.

Received 27 April 2009 Accepted 23 July 2009

# Video tutorial on the Phenix YouTube channel




**P**ython-based **H**ierarchical **EN**vironment for **I**ntegrated **X**tallography

**Generating ligand structures and restraints in the eLBOW GUI** 

#### **Overview**

The electronic Ligand Builder and Optimization Workbench (eLBOW) is the primary tool for generating non-standard ligand restraints in Phenix. In addition to existing as a standalone program, it is also used internally by the <u>LigandFit wizard</u> and <u>phenix.ready set</u> (integrated with the <u>phenix.refine</u> GUI). In addition to eLBOW, a separate standalone <u>graphical restraint editor</u> is available for advanced customization of restraints and structures.

Acta D paper



**Basic Phenix eLBOW tutorial** 

2.8K views • 5 years ago

Online documentation

https://phenix-online.org/documentation/reference/elbow\_gui.html

N. W. Moriarty, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, P. D. Adams, (2009). Acta Cryst. D 65, 1074-1080.

# ReadySet!

Prepare a model file for refinement (ReadySet! Refine!!!)

- Add H to protein with phenix.reduce.
- Add H to ligands with eLBOW.
- Optional: add H to water, add H/D to neutron model.
- Generate metal coordination files.

#### ReadySet!

#### Model file

#### ReadySet!

#### Restraints, model file

#### **Contents**

- Author
- Purpos
- General Procedure
- <u>Ligand hydrogen addition</u>
- Metal coordination
- Neutron exchange addition
- List of all available keywords

#### **Author**

Nigel W. Moriarty


#### **Purpose**

https://phenix-online.org/documentation/reference/ready\_set.html

# QMR – quantum mechanical restraints

#### Restraint generators don't predict

- Chemical variability (configuration or pucker)
- Specific binding interactions




## QMR approach:

- Minimize the ligand geometry in the binding pocket.
- Use minimized ligand geometry as targets for restraints.


(forget about what happened with the residues)

BER in 3vw2

# GeoStd vs QMR



# GeoStd structure in the density



QMR restraints are chemically plausible.

# Other tools for ligands in Phenix

## LigandFit: Flexible ligand fitting into difference maps.

Acta Crystallographica Section D
Biological
Crystallography

ISSN 0907-4449

Thomas C. Terwilliger, a\* Herbert Klei, Paul D. Adams, Nigel W. Moriarty and Judith D. Cohna

Automated ligand fitting by core-fragment fitting and extension into density

A procedure for fitting of ligands to electron-density maps by first fitting a core fragment of the ligand to density and then extending the remainder of the ligand into density is presented. The approach was tested by fitting 9327 ligands

Received 26 January 2006 Accepted 9 May 2006

