





Phenix Software for X-ray Crystallography & cryo-EM Workshop November 9th 2023



Molecular Replacement

Dorothee Liebschner Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory










How to recover phases



Experimentally

Exploit the properties of a few special atoms:

- Anomalous scattering
- A large number of electrons


Computationally


- Molecular Replacement (MR)
 A previously known structure provides initial phase estimates for a new structure
- Direct Methods

Phase relationships can be formulated by assuming the positivity and atomicity of the electron density

MR = solve the unknown crystal structure of a molecule using a related known molecular model.


Crystal of unknown structure






MR = solve the unknown crystal structure of a molecule using a related known molecular model.


Crystal of unknown structure



Known model provides initial estimates of the phases of the unknown structure.




Molecular Replacement (MR)




Density map

Molecular Replacement (MR)



If we know the density...


Molecular Replacement (MR)



If we know the density...

... then we can determine the structure

Phasing methods in the PDB



Note: Not all models in the PDB have (correct) info.


Molecular replacement: Approach

Try to match the known model with the unknown structure.

Crystal of unknown structure



Search model



Find the rotation and translation of the search model so that it matches the unknown structure.


The search model

- Finding a suitable search model is critical step in MR.
- Should provide a high proportion of the scattering from the target structure with high accuracy (low r.m.s.d.).



Not similar to the target

Crystal of unknown structure



Search model should be similar to the target structure.

1) Homologue structure

If the sequence is similar \rightarrow structures may be similar

- Sequence comparison search
- Prune regions of large sequence diversity
- Truncate side-chains





Unknown structure

Search model should be similar to the target structure.

1) Homologue structure

If the sequence is similar \rightarrow structures may be similar

- Sequence comparison search
- Prune regions of large sequence diversity
- Truncate side-chains



Search model




Unknown structure

Search model should be similar to the target structure.

2) Predicted structure (AlphaFold2, RosettaFold)

Can be very accurate.

- Distortions
- Incorrect domain relationships
- → Remove low pLDDT regions, split into domains






Unknown structure

Molecular replacement: Scoring

Compare observed and calculated diffraction.









Good score

Different approaches:

- Patterson function
- Maximum-likelihood Methods (Phaser)

MR Scoring: Maximum Likelihood Method

"For any postulated orientation and position of the model, what is the probability of obtaining the structure amplitudes that we observe?"



Explicitly models errors

- Experimental uncertainties
- r.m.s. coordinate error of the search model

→ Likelihood methods are more robust and generally give clearer solutions in difficult cases


LLG = Log Likelihood gain

- → It measures how much better the data can be predicted with the search model than with a random distribution of the same atoms.
- **TF-Z** = how many standard deviations your solution is above the mean (the higher the better).

Maximum Likelihood Scoring in Phaser

Select solutions that are over 75% of the difference between the top peak and the mean.

- Good signal, few potential solutions
- Poor signal, many potential solutions




TF Z-score	LLG score	Solved?
< 5	< 25	no
5 - 6	25 - 36	unlikely
6 - 7	36 - 49	possibly
7 - 8	49 - 64	probably
> 8	> 64	definitely

What is needed to run Phaser MR


- Reflection data
- Search model
- Error estimation of the search model
 - Homologue: sequence identity
 - Predicted model: r.m.s.d. (1.0 Å)
- ASU content
- Twinning
- (tNCS)

- Sequence of your construct
- How many copies of the the molecule(s)?




One copy in the asymmetric unit.

- Sequence of your construct
- How many copies of the the molecule(s)?




Two copies in the asymmetric unit, rotational symmetry.

- Sequence of your construct
- How many copies of the the molecule(s)?




Three copies in the asymmetric unit, two in rotational symmetry, one unrelated.

- Sequence of your construct
- How many copies of the the molecule(s)?




Three copies in the asymmetric unit.

- Sequence of your construct
- How many copies of the the molecule(s)?



Four copies in the asymmetric unit, translational noncrystallographic symmetry.

- Sequence of your construct
- How many copies of the the molecule(s)?
- Different domains?



If mutual orientation is unknown, search for the domains separately.


Estimating the number of molecules in the ASU

Matthews coefficient:
$$V_M = \frac{\text{volume of asymmetric unit}}{\text{molecular weight}}$$

Compare your value of V_M with histograms for known structures (from PDB) \rightarrow choose most probable.

Few possibilities


Many possibilities




POMGNT2 = protein O-linked mannose acetylglucosaminyl transferase-2

- 1) check Matthews coefficient
- 2) process predicted model
- 3) run Phaser molecular replacement

POMGNT2 = protein O-linked mannose acetylglucosaminyl transferase-2



POMGNT2 = protein O-linked mannose acetylglucosaminyl transferase-2




Predicted alignment error (PAE) plot


- → Dark green: the predicted relationship between a pair of residues is likely to be accurate
- → Suggests 3 domains
- → Mutual configuration is not so clear


POMGNT2 = protein O-linked mannose acetylglucosaminyl transferase-2

→ Remove low pLDDT regions, split into domains



POMGNT2 = protein O-linked mannose acetylglucosaminyl transferase-2







Liebschner D, *et al.*, Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in *Phenix*. Acta Cryst. 2019 **D75**:861–877